

# Overview: Monte Carlo Radiative Energy Deposition (MRED) Code What does MRED compute?

R. A. Weller<sup>1</sup>, M. H. Mendenhall<sup>1</sup>, R. A. Reed<sup>1</sup>, M. A. Clemens<sup>1</sup>, N. A. Dodds<sup>1</sup>, B. D. Sierawski<sup>1</sup>,
K. M. Warren<sup>1</sup>, R. D. Schrimpf<sup>1</sup>, L. W. Massengill<sup>1</sup>, T. Koi<sup>2</sup>, D. Wright<sup>2</sup>, and M. Asai<sup>2</sup>
<sup>1</sup>Institute for Space & Defense Electronics, Vanderbilt University
<sup>2</sup>Stanford Linear Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford University USA

Acknowledgements:

- AFOSR, MURI
- DTRA Basic Research Program: HDTRA1-08-1-0034 and HDTRA1-08-1-0033
- DTRA Basic Research Program: Radiation Hardened Microelectronics Program
- NASA GSFC: NASA Electronic Parts and Packaging (NEPP) Program
- NASA MSFC: Advanced Avionics and Processor Systems (AAPS), formerly RHESE





- SEU rate prediction
  - -The general Monte Carlo method
  - -RPP method
  - -Collected charge methods
- Criteria for using a Monte Carlo approach
- Status of the CREME96 Revision
- Conclusion

## **Detailed physical simulation**



#### Algorithms Do it once; do it right. Leverage supercomputer scaling. int patmat(pattern, string) char \*pattern, \*string; patmat() examines the strings 'pattern' and 'string' for equality and returns YES (=1) if they are equal and NO (=0) if not. The string 'pattern' may contain '\*' and "?' characters which will match any substring and any single character will also match a NULL string - that is, the absence of any characters in the designated position. The "?" character always requires that there he an explicit (but arbitrary) character present in the test strin Devices #defi n YES **Radiation Environments** #defi n NO 0 int patmat(pattern,strin; char \*pattern, \*string; + register char \*p, \*s; register int match = NO p = pattern: s = string while(\*p != '\*') { if(\*p != \*s && \*p goto retNO if(\*p++ == \0) goto retYES; if(\*s++ == '\0') /\* No more string, but still some nattern goto retNO /\* which is not a '\*'. No match if(\*++n != '\0') \* '\*' at the end matches anything, even a \* /\* NULL string. while(!patmat(p,s) if(!\*s++) goto retNO; retYES: ++match; retNO: return(match); \* Robert A. Weller National Electrostatics Corporation /\* December, 1990 \*. **MODEL 5SDH-4 PELLETRON ACCELERATOR** http://www.icknowledge.com/ Croc image: htt ocodilian.com/crocfag/ trends/p4(2).jpg solarmin\_1\_92.flx 1-H Supercomputer ← 4-He ▲ 12-C **↓** 14-N ▼-▼ 16-O ▶-▶ 56-Fe 0.0 re-0 (m<sup>2</sup>-s-1e-08 Flux 1e-10 1e-12 PERSONAL PROPERTY AND INCOME. 1e-14 a cond 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 a cond 1.1.1.1.11 1e-16 10 100 1000 10000 1e+05 Kinetic Energy (MeV/nucleon)



#### mono-energetic flux of ions

with atomic number z and energy  $E_0$  to deposit energy Ein a specific volume?"

- Monte Carlo simulation
  - MRED: A Monte Carlo engine to determine a probability distribution by repetitive sampling.
  - Monte Carlo: Appropriate when analytical computations are impractical.

**Example:** "The probability

density for an isotropic,

Robert A. Weller

Structure

Radiation Events





### SEE rate: What MRED computes



$$R(t) = -\sum_{z} \int_{All E} dE \int_{\hat{n} \cdot \hat{e} < 0} d\Omega \oint d\vec{A} \cdot \hat{e} \int_{-\infty}^{t} dt' \Phi(z, E, \hat{e}, \vec{x}, t') \times P_{e}(z, E, \hat{e}, \vec{x}, t'; t)$$

**The event and effect**  $P_e(z,E,\hat{e},\vec{x},t';t) \equiv \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} P_e(z,E,\hat{e},\vec{x}) \cdot \delta(t'-(t-\varepsilon))$ **are instantaneous...** 

The flux is time independent...

$$\Phi(z, E, \hat{e}, \vec{x}, t) \equiv \Phi(z, E, \hat{e}, \vec{x})$$

The flux is isotropic and homogeneous...

$$\Phi(z, E, \hat{e}, \vec{x}) \equiv \Phi(z, E)$$

$$R = -\sum_{z} \int_{All E} dE \Phi(z, E) \int_{\hat{n} \cdot \hat{e} < 0} d\Omega \oint d\vec{A} \cdot \hat{e} P_e(z, E, \hat{e}, \vec{x})$$

#### **RPP model assumptions**



$$R = -\sum_{z} \int_{All E} dE \Phi(z, E) \int_{\hat{n} \cdot \hat{e} < 0} d\Omega \oint d\vec{A} \cdot \hat{e} P_e(z, E, \hat{e}, \vec{x})$$

The world is a rectangular parallelepiped...

The event probability is completely determined by the energy deposited in the world...  $P_e(z, E, \hat{e}, \vec{x}) \equiv \int p_d(z, E, \hat{e}, \vec{x}, E_d) P_e(E_d) dE_d$ 

**Deposited energy is completely determined by LET, which is** constant...  $p_d(z, E, \hat{e}, \vec{x}, E_d) = \delta(E_d - h(\hat{e}, \vec{x})S(z, E))$ 

The event occurs if and only if the deposited energy exceedsa critical threshold... $P_e(E_d) = H(E_d - E_c) = Unit step function$ 

## The chord-length distribution emerges



#### The LET distribution emerges





### The RPP model for rate prediction

- Upset follows deposition of critical charge Q<sub>c</sub> or equivalent energy, E<sub>c</sub>.
- Deposition of Q > Q<sub>c</sub> depends on projectile LET and chord-length distribution
- Rate depends on LET distribution in the space environment
- Factors entering into the model
  - Target (RPP) size
  - LET distribution
  - RPP's path length distribution
  - Critical charge for upset
- The upset rate, R:

Robert A. Weller





 $A = Surface \ area$   $l_{max} = Longest \ chord$   $E_c = Energy \ equivalent \ of \ Q_c$   $s_{min} = E_c / l_{max} = Minimum \ LET \ for \ upset$   $s_{max} = Maximum \ environmental \ LET$   $F(s) = Integral \ LET \ distribution$   $p_c(x) = Differential \ path \ length \ distribution$ 







$$R = -\sum_{z} \int_{All E} dE \Phi(z, E) \int_{\hat{n} \cdot \hat{e} < 0} d\Omega \oint d\vec{A} \cdot \hat{e} P_e(z, E, \hat{e}, \vec{x})$$

**Recall the single-transistor probability to collect charge Q:** 

 $p_Q(z, E, \hat{e}, \vec{x}, Q) = \int dE_1 \int dE_2 \dots \int dE_n \delta(Q - \sum_{i=1}^n k_i E_i) p_{d1}(z, E, \hat{e}, \vec{x}, E_1) p_{d2}(z, E, \hat{e}, \vec{x}, E_2) \dots p_{dn}(z, E, \hat{e}, \vec{x}, E_n)$ 

 $P_e(z, E, \hat{e}, \vec{x}) \equiv \int dQ_1 \int dQ_2 \dots \int dQ_n \, p_{Q1}(z, E, \hat{e}, \vec{x}, Q_1) p_{Q2}(z, E, \hat{e}, \vec{x}, Q_1) \dots p_{Qn}(z, E, \hat{e}, \vec{x}, Q_1) P_e(Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n)$ 

If the effect involves several transistors and a joint probability...



This is the time-independent case...

## Summary of the approximation hierarchy







MC: One SV







**MURI Review, Nashville, June 2009** 

### **Indicators for Monte Carlo analysis**



- Known technology or system application characteristics:
  - Basic assumptions of the RPP or IRPP model are known to be inappropriate to the technology under investigation.
  - Upsets are known to require near simultaneous, multiple-transistor, multiple-node perturbations.

#### • Experimental observations:

- Unexpected upsets are observed in what is assumed to be a hardened technology.
- Different ions with the same LET produce upset cross-sections that differ statistically.
- Cross sections for multiple ions cannot be correlated with a single sensitive volume.
- strong azimuthal angle dependence (rotation around the die surface normal) is observed with heavy ions.
- Strong angular dependence is evident in test data using protons.





<ul> <li></li></ul>	5/job.0120800877171 🗟 🔻 🕨 🚔 💽 Google 🔍 💷 🗗 🗙
VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY       School of Engineering         help       members       news	accessibility contact Search Site Search
	🤱 Brian Sierawski my folder preferences log out
you are here: home → members → brian slerawski → waren2005 → 523mev neon on stacka → 523mev neon on s	actions v state: private v User-Specified Back-End-of-Line <sup>1</sup>
Click to view full-size image Size: 11.0 kB	Send this — Print this —
Powered by Plone Valid XHTML Valid CSS	Section 508 WCAG





## Thank you!